

REMARKS

In light of the above amendatory matter and remarks to follow, reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully solicited.

The Examiner kindly noted that claims 1-6, 24-25 and 34-35 are in condition for allowance.

Claims 9-11, 26-28 and 36-38 were rejected under 35 USC 101 as allegedly being directed to subject matter that is not patent eligible.

By this amendment, claims 9, 10, 26, 27, 36 and 37 are amended to conform with the Office Guidelines which represent the present interpretation by the Office of the Supreme Court's *Bilski* decision. Claims 11, 28 and 38 are canceled. Other claims are amended in a similar manner. Accordingly, claims 1-6, 9-10, 24-27, 34-37, 44-46, 49-50, 60-62, 65-66, 76-78, 81-82, 92-94, 97-98, 108-110, 116-118, 124-126 and 132-134 remain in the application.

In the Office Action under reply, although the presence of claims 44-46, 49-50, 60-62, 65-66, 76-78, 81-82, 92-94, 97-98, 108-110, 116-118, 124-126 and 132-134 was acknowledged, these claims were not substantively treated. These claims remain in the present application following Applicants' response to the Election of Species Requirement dated June 17, 2010, in which Species I was elected for further prosecution. In Applicants' election, it was noted that claims 1, 9, 10, 24, 26, 27, 34, 36 and 37 were generic claims. Since these generic claims now are in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that the June 17, 2010 Election of Species Requirement be withdrawn, that all claims remaining in this application be examined on their merits, and that all claims 1-6, 9-10, 24-27, 34-37, 44-46, 49-50, 60-62, 65-66, 76-78, 81-82, 92-94, 97-98, 108-110, 116-118, 124-126 and 132-134 be found allowed.

Please charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP
Attorneys for Applicants

By: 

William S. Frommer
Reg. No. 25,506
Tel. (212) 588-0800